

---

## **CWE – 30th RCC**

1 March 2012 from 10:30 – 16:00

CREG premises, Rue de l'Industrie 26-38, 1040 Brussels

---

### **DRAFT MINUTES**

<b>Participants</b>		
Arnaud	Mainsant	CRE
Elozona	Ochu	NMa
Sebastian	Pielken	BNetzA
Patrick	Luickx	CREG
Alain	Marien	CREG
Zeljka	Koessldorfer	E-Control
Claude	Hornick	iLR

## **1 Approval of last meeting's minutes**

The minutes are approved.

## **2 Flowbased follow-up**

### **2.1 Regulatory approval process**

#### **2.1.1 General provisions**

CWE NRAs discuss the 5 month timespan proposal to approve. NRAs acknowledge that the NRA position will be coordinated but that different country-specific (legal) basis could lead to different types of decisions.

***CWE NRAs will send an email to the TSO steering committee that a 5-month CWE NRA approval process is acceptable. CWE NRAs appreciate that the target date is still deemed feasible but acknowledge that there is no slack anymore.***

CWE NRAs discuss the level of transparency that is currently proposed by CWE TSOs. CWE NRAs will ask the NRA responsible from the transparency workstream for the FEDT specifications.

#### **2.1.2 Critical branches information**

During last FB meeting CWE TSOs said that all CB should be considered as "critical infrastructure" and argued that this information on CB would therefore be too sensitive to

publish. To verify this statement, CWE NRAs will ask each CWE TSO to cross-reference the CB list with the “critical infrastructure” list.

A compromise solution could be investigated. For instance, publishing a monthly report of the non-anonymous critical branches (as opposed to a “real time” publication) seems not critical in terms of infrastructure security but could be useful at least for an analysis of the network imperfections, their effects on social welfare and the reinforcement forecasts.

The transparency guideline will give more clarity on the issue of CB. CWE NRAs will evaluate whether there will be need to give more information to the market or not.

### **2.1.3 New powers to NRAs linked to 3<sup>rd</sup> Package**

CWE NRAs acknowledge the changes in the approval process induced by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Package (specifically art. 37.9 of the Directive 2009/72).

## **2.2 Feedback on the FB meeting of 13/02/2012**

The minutes of the 13/02/2012 FB meeting are discussed.

The common website requested by the CWE NRAs is discussed. For reasons of transparency, such a common website is of importance for the CWE NRAs. CWE NRAs will state at next PLEF SG1 meeting that price, commercial capacities and commercial flows together with urgent market messages need to be published in one location.

GSKs, FRM and CB are seen as critical issues for monitoring and the approval process. The treatment of these issues should be harmonized between CWE TSOs in the most efficient way. CWE NRAs will ask by the end of March 2012 for clear information regarding these issues. ***The data of the previous runs needs to be shared on beforehand as well, to better frame the information request for the parallel run monitoring.*** CWE NRAs acknowledge that the possible discrimination of small bidding zones can be eased with a good choice of GSKs.

## **2.3 Congestion income and feedback on the 29/02/2012 meeting**

The decision on the sharing keys should rely on more detailed information from the parallel runs.

CWE NRAs will ask the CWE TSO responsables to simulate option 1 and 2 (next to the situation without measure for resales cost) for the resales cost with the parallel runs:  
5 columns: congestion income per TSO (as before), resales cost, volume of resold capacity, option 1 implemented, option 2 implemented.  
CWE NRAs also ask to have the results for the missing flows with the TSO proposal (attribution to GE-FR) and with a pro-rata system (to be defined still).

## ***2.4 Update on recent information on flowbased process and congestion income***

### **3 Status of the CWE Common System**

CWE NRAs will send an email to the CWE TSO steering committee that CWE NRAs have no objections against “black flags” and ask for more information on the different implementation options, including the measures already in place and the ones foreseen for “Spring 2012” (slide number 9 in presentation).

CWE NRAs will want a detailed real-life simulation of applying the common system to the 4 and 5 February 2011:

1. As it is now
2. Including the 4 improvements planned for Spring 2012

CWE NRAs will urge for a harmonised approach.

CWE NRAs will ask for feedback on the questions by 16/04 and plan for a meeting 25/04 or 27/04.

CWE NRAs discuss the impact of GSKs (in FB) on the reduction of capacity. TSOs, by the choice of GSK can heavily influence the capacity reduction mechanism. CWE NRAs stress the importance of a harmonized principle on determining GSKs.

CWE will share their doubts on the capacity reduction mechanism with the CWE TSOs in the letter that will be sent to the TSO steering committee.

### **4 Future of CWE RI**

CWE NRAs ask themselves how the functioning of the ERI will develop in the future.

CWE lead regulator will coordinate with the other ERIs to approach ACER on the way forward. CWE NRAs discussed the need to keep the CWE ERI structure, also in the light of the requirements of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Package. For these reasons, an informal structure - cannot suffice. In terms of transparency, ERI is also considered a useful structure.

### **5 General discussion on CWE study of zones project status**

Minutes and presentation of the second physical meeting is to be sent around.

Clarifications are needed concerning the models to be tested (which zones?), the hypothesis used for the scenario construction and time horizon in phase 2.of the study. CWE NRAs will have to evaluate this from the output of the qualitative analysis report. CWE NRAs will want to be informed thoroughly of the content in the second phase from the report on the first phase.

CWE NRAs look at the possibility of adding questions / comments to the TSO representatives for the drafting of the various reports.

## **6 Presentation by CREG of study 1129 on the utilization of interconnections**

Aim to make this study for CWE.

CWE NRAs think that some of the questions raised in this study could be used for the study of zones.

## **7 AOB**

### ***7.1 March 30<sup>th</sup> PLEF meeting***

Cf. request to have a single platform for transparency

Cf. planning of flowbased. Deadline still feasible but no room to change it again.

### ***7.2 Status of CH MC***

A status update is given on Switzerland joining the MC.

### ***7.3 Status of iGCC extension***

The test run with Denmark went well.

TenneT BV joined and Swissgrid as well.

## **8 Next meetings**

24-25/05 telco for FB follow-up (consultation phase)

## **9 Actions**

1. Send urgent letter to CWE steering committee on 1/03/2012.
2. Send remaining FB requests by mid-March 2012.
3. Send request on common system (BNetzA)
4. Make statement on central information point for transparency at next PLEF SG1 meeting.
5. Possible clarifications on the zone study
6. Gather information on the FEDT (NMa)